

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/646,683	08/25/2003	Leslie B. Shutts	19521	1545
26480 75	90 09/24/2004		EXAMINER	
LAWRENCE E. LAUBSCHER, SR.			PETERSON, KENNETH E	
	& LAUBSCHER RD STREET, SUITE 300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON,			3724	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			V
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/2
	10/646,683	SHUTTS ET AL.	/
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth E Peterson	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the me earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commit on the mailing date of this commit on the commit of the committee of the commit of th	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	wance except for formal matte	• •	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/o	rawn from consideration.		,
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			r
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Sta	ge
Occ the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies fiot fi	cociveu.	
,			•
Attachment(s)	[] .		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/646,683

Art Unit: 3724

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 3 and 4, drawn to a cable stripper having a calibrating screw.
 - II. Claims 5-7, drawn to a cable stripper having chamfered, v-shaped edges.

Page 2

- III. Claims 8-10, drawn to a cable stripper having a locking means.
- IV. Claim 11, drawn to a cable stripper having a gripping means.
- ٧. Claims 12 and 13, drawn to a cable stripper having angled handles.
- VI. Claims 14-18, drawn to a cable stripper having specifically sized openings.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 will be examined with the elected invention. Claims 1 and 2 link the inventions of groups I-VI. The restriction requirement of the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claims, claims 1 and 2. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,683 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

- 3. Inventions of groups I-VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. For example, the cable stripper having a calibrating screw of group I could be used with U-shaped cutting edges, as opposed to the V-shaped cutting edges of the cable stripper of group II. Conversely, the cable stripper having chamfered, v-shaped edges of group II could be employed with a cambased calibration mechanism, unlike the screw-based calibration mechanism of group I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 4. There is an excessive burden on the office to examine all of these inventions together, as shown by their search. See MPEP 808.02(C). For example, the search for group I would be in class \$81\$ and require text limitations such as "screw". The search for group II would not be as above, but instead would by in class 30, subclass 357. The search for group III would not be as above, but instead would require a search in class 30, subclass 262. The other groups also have unique searches.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,683 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ken Peterson whose telephone number is 703-308-2186. Effective on about 16 November 04, the phone number will change to 571-272-4512. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday between 7am and 4pm.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

kp September 21, 2004

KENNETH E. PETERSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER